

### **Remarks**

This is in reply to the official action of April 1, 2010.

Claims 14, 15, 21 and 23 have been amended to cure antecedent basis objection by the Examiner.

The rejection of claim 223 should be withdrawn as claim 23 contains its own “a pivot pin” antecedent basis.

The objection to language in the form of negative limitations should be withdrawn as the only negative limitations in the claims are completely unambiguous.

“in the absence of exterior support” would be understood by anybody.

The Examiner is referred to MPEP 2173.05(i) “The current view of the courts is that there is nothing inherently ambiguous or uncertain about a negative limitation. So long as the boundaries of the patent protection sought are set forth definitely, albeit negatively, the claim complies with the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph.” plane in the folded condition to make folding parallel to a bottom plane possible.

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, it is clear that all rejections should be withdrawn and all claims should be allowed, which action is courteously requested.

Respectfully submitted,

/Michael L. Dunn/

Michael L. Dunn  
Registration No. 25,330  
Simpson & Simpson, PLLC  
5555 Main Street  
Williamsville, NY 14221-5406  
Telephone No. 716-626-1564

MLD/mjk

Dated: July 19, 2010